1.	# ተለነተዋወካ ድሞአጥርያ DISTDICT (CON <b>ኮՐD</b> -
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTRECEIVED
3	Civil Action No. 08-134 MAY -7 2009
4 5	In Regard to the Matter of:  JOEL SCHNEIDER U.S. Magistrate Judge
6	Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation OF THE SPECIAL MASTER
7	EDDIE CARTER
8	-vs-
9	WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,
10	Defendants.
11	<del></del>
12	
13	
14	* * *
15	
16	TUESDAY, APRIL 14, 2009
17	* * * *
18	
19	
20	DEFECT OF THE WAY OF TAKEN IN DISCOULT GREAT MAGNED
21	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER
22	
23	
24	
25	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Transcript of proceedings in the above matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza, Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 2:34 PM.

MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.

Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing

251 South White Horse Pike

Audubon, New Jersey 08106

856-546-1100

1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 4 LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES. LAWRENCE W. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE 5 330 MARKET STREET CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102 6 856-968-9201 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 7 8 RODNEY D. RAY, ESQUIRE 9 32 NORTH BLACK HORSE PIKE BLACKWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08012 10 856-232-3337 856-232-4561 11 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS 12 13 ROSELLI & GRIEGEL, PC BY: MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE 14 - and -BY: JAMES LAZZARO, ESQUIRE 15 1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33 HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690 16 609-586-2257 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

JUDGE BISSELL: I'm now reopening proceedings in the case of Eddie Carter, docket number 08-134.

This opinion/report is being issued pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference to a Special Master and the Special Master's Agreement and the guiding principles of law which underlie this decision to be applied to the facts upon which it is based as set forth in the jury instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. Carter.

As finalized after review under Local Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the written report required under paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a Special Master.

Eddie Carter arrived at BSP and was assigned to D Tent during the lockdown. He testified to certain events that allegedly occurred to him. He talked about a beating in D Tent beginning at page eight, line 16. He's asked:

"Question: Can you tell us how long after you arrived in D Tent did the beating occur?

"Answer: I think it was like about the second or third day I was there and, you know, like

from the first day, every night they would have a certain group of officers coming through the tents, very angrily coming through the tents, and I seen them pull people before I ever got pulled, but like about the second day I was selected to go -- I didn't know where I was going, but I was selected to go with a group of people, and they took us to another rec area. That's where I was assaulted at."

After a bit of colloquy that's not particularly relevant here, resuming at page nine on line 15:

"Question: Tell me what they said to you, or what one of them or all of them said to you when they came to your bunk.

"Answer: Well, when you come in the tents, they just came in and just started to select people to get up and step outside so, you know, I was just selected just to get up and step outside. So when I went outside, there was already like about ten people already out there, so I just had to get into that line.

"Question: Now, is this the rec area that you mentioned a few minutes ago?

"Answer: This is in front of the tent and when we left from in front of the tent, they

```
marched us down to the rec area.
1
                   "Question: The rec area, is that also
2
3
     outside?
                   "Answer: Yes, it is.
4
                               Is that --
5
                   "Question:
                   "Answer: It's about 25 yards from the
6
7
     tent.
                   "Ouestion: That's a recreation area;
8
     is that correct?
9
                   "Answer: Yes, it's the big building,
10
     about three times the size of this (courtroom), where
11
     they, you know, did meals at."
12
                   He was questioned further about this
13
14
     incident.
                    "Ouestion: When you were brought in
15
     there, what area of the rec area were you brought to?
16
                    "Answer: Well, when you walk in the
17
     door it's just a big open space and you had a lot of
18
     chairs in there because they also have TV rec time in
19
     there and everything. So we were just brought in
20
     there and ordered to lay face down on the floor."
21
                    He talks about lying face down on the
22
     floor and getting kicked in the butt, as he described
23
     it, getting beaten back down when he tried to get up
24
     off the floor instinctively, that he was also kicked
25
```

1 in the bridge of his nose and broke his nose. And 2 bloodied it as well. However, in his cross-examination, 3 particularly when confronted with his deposition 4 which had been taken in October of 2002, he has a 5 very different story to recount. 6 7 Talking about this assault, begins at 8 page 30 of that deposition: 9 "Question: What happened? "Answer: On the second day I was 10 there, they called, they called D Tent out and they 11 called us to -- I guess it was a rec hall that 12 they -- it wasn't nothing there. Just a tent. 13 14 Another big, big, big tent. 15 "Right. 16 "You know, and they called us in there 17 about 12 o'clock in the morning, something like that. 18 12 in the morning. "About 12 in the morning. 12 midnight? 19 20 "Answer: 12 midnight, yeah. And they called us in there, you know, they knew who we were, 21 you know, the officers. They called us over, they 22 23 knew who we were." 24 After some intervening testimony that's

not particularly pertinent to this point his

25

testimony resumes at this description at page 37 of the deposition transcript.

"Question: All right. So what happened on this evening after your call. You say you recall that it was your whole tent called?

"Answer: The whole tent was called

over.

"Question: What happened?

"Answer: They called us over and, you know, had us walk over to the rec tent. I think they called it, rec tent. And when we got in there, they told us to sit. They had the chairs lined up, told us to sit in the chairs and face the wall and put our head in our laps.

"Question: Put your head in your lap?

"Ouestion: Okay.

"Answer: Yes.

"Answer: You know, that's not the way they said it, but you know, that's what it was they was telling us to do. And we did that, you know, and, you know, I couldn't put my head in my lap, you know. So when I couldn't do that, you know, the officer thought I was rebelling against him, what he was trying to get me to do. Right. So at that point, you know, he got on the back of my neck and

1.4

1.7

just jammed me down as far as he could. But every time he let me go, my head would come back up."

He then recounts at this point being slammed down to the floor of the tent from his chair as a result of this disobedience. He was lying on the floor at which point he was then kicked. His recollection is that they were SOG officers that did that, although that's not entirely clear.

Here again, we have a situation with a significant discrepancy in the description of the events. At trial before me he testified that it was a small select group of ten persons or so taken out of the tent, taken into the rec building, placed on the floor immediately and then assaulted.

In his testimony on deposition years earlier he testifies that the whole tent was cleared out, taken into the rec building and told to sit in the chairs in a certain fashion. And then upon his either refusal or inability to do so, he is taken down to the floor or pushed down to the floor and then assaulted.

Once again, I don't consider that discrepancy to be trivial. One might say, well, what's the difference, you know, he got beat up. Well, maybe he did or maybe he didn't, but the

situation is this: If you're fabricating a story, differences like this occur because maybe you don't always remember what you said the first time. The truth, frankly, doesn't have to rely on that. And I just find that there was no indicia of truth as to the happening of this event at all in light of the fact that we have two materially different versions of the event.

Perhaps stated another way, I think it's an appropriate inference that if called again to testify maybe in another six or 8 months or so about this event, we would probably get a third version.

Mr. Carter has failed to establish that this event in the recreation building in the area of the tents did, in fact, occur.

evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, all evidence presented to the Special Master was reviewed and considered. For the reasons set forth above I recommend in this report that the district court enter an order and judgment of no cause for action with regard to Eddie Carter.

Gentlemen, I'll see you tomorrow at 9:30.

(Hearing Adjourned)

## CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O: Mastroianni, C.S.R. Theresa Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: April 16, 2009